Indonesian Research Journal on Education

e-ISSN: 2775 – 8672, p-ISSN: 2775 – 9482
Volume 4 Nomor 2 Tahun 2024
Halaman: 1222 – 1226

Web: https://irje.org/index.php/irje

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Role of Digital Media in Shaping Public Opinion And Political Participation

Nahria[1*], Herdian Tobo[2],Muammar K. Nur[3], Eveerth Z. Joumilena[4]
Universitas Muhammadiyah Papua, Indonesia

nahria28@gmail.com[1]

Kata Kunci:
Media Digital, Opini Publik, Partisipasi Politik, Jejaring Sosial, Demokrasi, Literasi Media

Abstrak

Evolusi media digital yang pesat telah mengubah dinamika komunikasi publik, keterlibatan politik, dan pembentukan opini di abad ke-21. Studi ini mengkaji peran platform media digital seperti jejaring sosial, portal berita daring, dan forum diskusi digital dalam membentuk opini publik dan memengaruhi pola partisipasi politik. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan metode campuran yang menggabungkan analisis konten dan data berbasis survei, penelitian ini mengeksplorasi bagaimana paparan informasi politik di lingkungan digital memengaruhi persepsi, sikap, dan kemauan warga negara untuk berpartisipasi dalam proses demokrasi. Temuan penelitian ini mengungkapkan bahwa media digital berfungsi sebagai katalis sekaligus penyaring wacana politik, memungkinkan akses informasi yang lebih luas sekaligus memperkuat polarisasi ideologis melalui personalisasi algoritmik. Lebih lanjut, studi ini mengidentifikasi korelasi yang kuat antara intensitas keterlibatan daring dan frekuensi aktivitas politik luring, seperti pemungutan suara, protes, dan kegiatan sukarela warga negara. Namun, hasil penelitian ini juga menyoroti risiko misinformasi, ruang gema, dan keterlibatan dangkal yang didorong oleh viralitas alih-alih penalaran kritis. Studi ini menyimpulkan bahwa sementara media digital telah memperluas ruang demokrasi dengan memfasilitasi inklusivitas dan kedekatan dalam komunikasi politik, media digital juga memerlukan inisiatif literasi media yang strategis dan kerangka regulasi untuk memastikan integritas wacana publik di era digital.

Key Word:
Digital Media, Public Opinion, Political Participation, Social Networks, Democracy, Media Literacy

Abstract

The rapid evolution of digital media has transformed the dynamics of public communication, political engagement, and opinion formation in the 21st century. This study examines the role of digital media platforms such as social networks, online news portals, and digital discussion forums in shaping public opinion and influencing patterns of political participation. Using a mixed-methods approach that combines content analysis and survey-based data, the research explores how exposure to political information in digital environments affects citizens' perceptions, attitudes, and willingness to participate in democratic processes. The findings reveal that digital media serve as both catalysts and filters of political discourse, enabling greater access to information while simultaneously reinforcing ideological polarization through algorithmic personalization. Moreover, the study identifies a strong correlation between the intensity of online engagement and the frequency of offline political activities, such as voting, protesting, and civic volunteering. However, the results also highlight the risks of misinformation, echo chambers, and superficial engagement driven by virality rather than critical reasoning. The study concludes that while digital media have expanded the democratic space by facilitating inclusivity and immediacy in political communication, they also necessitate strategic media literacy initiatives and regulatory frameworks to ensure the integrity of public discourse in the digital age.

Copyright © 2024 Nahria, Herdian Tobo, Muammar K. Nur, Eveerth Z. Joumilena
*This work is licensed under an **Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)***

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of information and communication technology (ICT) in the last two decades has brought about a major transformation in the way people interact, access information, and express political opinions(Okonkwo et al., 2024). Digital media, especially social media, has become a major arena for the formation of public discourse and wider political participation. Through platforms such as Facebook, Twitter (X), Instagram, and YouTube, individuals now have the ability to directly disseminate, respond to, and construct political narratives without relying on traditional media institutions (Asnur et al., 2024; Delfi Kurnia Zebua et al., 2024; Dewanto et al., 2023). This phenomenon shows the occurrence of disintermediation in political communication, where the public is no longer only the recipient of messages but also as an active actor in the process of information production (Castells, 2012; Chadwick, 2017). As a result, the boundaries

e-ISSN: 2775 – 8672
p-ISSN: 2775 – 9482

between professional journalism and citizen expression are becoming increasingly blurred, creating a dynamic and participatory new communication ecosystem(Provision, 2021).

On the other hand, advances in ICT also pose serious challenges to the quality of democracy and the stability of public opinion. Social media algorithms designed to maximize user engagement often create echo chambers and filter bubbles, which narrow exposure to diverse views and reinforce political polarization (Pariser, 2011; Sunstein, 2018). In addition, the rapid spread of misinformation and disinformation through digital networks can affect public perception of political issues and erode trust in democratic institutions (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). Thus, while ICT provides opportunities for information democratization and wider political participation, it also demands digital literacy and adequate regulation to maintain the integrity of public communication in the digital era (Provision, 2021).

Digital platforms such as social media, online news portals, and blogs have revolutionized the way people shape public opinion and participate in political life(Santosa & Sudirman,2020) . Social media, in particular, has created a two-way communication ecosystem that allows the public to not only become consumers of information, but also producers and spreaders of political messages. Through the mechanism of user-generated content, people can voice their views, frame issues, and mobilize support for certain social or political movements (Loader & Mercea, 2011). Online news portals help accelerate the process of disseminating political information by providing real-time access to national and global issues, thereby expanding the scope of public participation in political discourse (Mitchelstein & Boczkowski, 2009). Personal blogs also serve as alternative media that often offer independent analysis and critical views on government policies or mainstream media. Thus, digital platforms function as a catalyst for the formation of a new public sphere (digital public sphere) that is more open, interactive, and egalitarian than traditional media (Dahlgren, 2013).

However, it is important to realize that while digital platforms expand access to political participation, these spaces are also vulnerable to algorithmic bias, disinformation, and manipulation of public opinion(Bimber et al., 2014). Political activity in cyberspace is often determined by visibility politics that is, the extent to which political messages are able to attract attention and get high exposure in the digital space (Papacharissi, 2015). The phenomenon of hashtag activism or clicktivism also shows that political participation in digital media is not always directly proportional to substantive involvement in the democratic process (Tufekci, 2017). In addition, algorithms that regulate the flow of information can form filter bubbles, where users are only exposed to information that suits their preferences, thus reinforcing political polarization (Sunstein, 2018). Therefore, although digital platforms have opened up new opportunities for the democratization of political participation, challenges to the quality and authenticity of participation still need to be critically examined (T. A. Santosa et al., 2020; Suganda et al., 2021).

The development of digital technology has shifted the traditional political paradigm that was previously top-down to be more participatory and interactive.(Gurung, 2025) In the pre-digital era, the flow of political communication was dominated by conventional mass media such as television, radio, and newspapers, where the public acted as passive recipients of political messages produced by elites and formal institutions (McNair, 2018). However, the presence of social media and digital platforms is fundamentally changing this landscape by presenting new public spaces that allow for political participation based on user-generated content (Jenkins, Ford, & Green, 2013). People can now directly produce, comment, and disseminate political information to a wider audience without going through the filters of traditional media institutions. This transformation not only increases the intensity of political communication, but also strengthens the democratization of information, where individual voices have a greater chance of influencing public opinion and political agendas (Castells, 2012).

However, this paradigm shift also poses new challenges to the authenticity and credibility of political information in circulation. As society becomes both a producer and a disseminator of information, the boundaries between facts and opinions become increasingly blurred, thus opening up space for the spread of misinformation and manipulation of political discourse (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). In addition, social media algorithms that drive engagement often amplify emotional and sensational messages, which can form echo chambers and exacerbate political polarization (Sunstein, 2018). Thus, while digital transformation has expanded political participation and strengthened public voices, it also requires strong digital literacy and responsible political communication ethics so that the digital democracy space is not distorted by misleading information or hate speech (Aziz & Dharta, 2024)

The phenomenon of echo chambers, disinformation, and polarization of opinion has become a serious challenge to the quality of democracy in the digital age. Echo chambers describe a condition when individuals are only exposed to information and views that are in line with their own beliefs, which are reinforced by social

e-ISSN: 2775 – 8672
p-ISSN: 2775 – 9482

media algorithms and the user's selective preferences (Sunstein, 2018). This condition hinders cross-viewpoint dialogue and weakens the ability of the public to assess political issues objectively. At the same time, the flow of disinformation—the deliberate dissemination of false or misleading information—further blurs the line between facts and opinions, thus influencing the public's perception of political reality (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). As a result, the polarization of public opinion increases, creating social fragmentation that can threaten political cohesion and weaken the legitimacy of democratic institutions (Guess, Nyhan, & Reifler, 2018). In this context, the quality of democracy depends not only on freedom of opinion, but also on the ability of citizens to participate in a digital public space based on truthfulness, rationality, and communication ethics (Shah, 2020.)

Research conducted by Gil de Zúñiga, Jung, and Valenzuela (2012), the active use of social media can increase political awareness, expand access to public information, and encourage political involvement both in the form of online discussions and real political actions such as participation in elections. Similar results were also found by Vaccari and Valeriani (2015), who showed that political interaction on social media strengthens social *connectedness* and builds a sense of collective political identity. In addition, Castells' (2012) research emphasizes that digital media has become the main means in the formation of *networked publics*, where political mobilization and public discourse develop through horizontal interaction between citizens without the mediation of traditional institutions. The findings suggest that digital media has the potential to strengthen deliberative democracy by expanding access to political participation and freedom of expression.

However, a number of studies have also highlighted the problematic side of the influence of digital media on the quality of participation and the formation of public opinion. For example, research by Allcott and Gentzkow (2017) shows that the spread of *fake news* during the 2016 United States election has significantly affected voter perception and blurred the line between facts and political opinion. Meanwhile, Bakshy, Messing, and Adamic (2015) found that social media algorithms tend to strengthen *the echo chamber* by displaying content that matches the user's political preferences, thereby limiting the diversity of views and deepening societal polarization. Another study by Barberá (2020) confirms that the intensity of political engagement on social media is not always directly proportional to the quality of public deliberation, as it is often emotional and reactive. Thus, although digital media opens up great opportunities for wider political participation, challenges to communication ethics, digital literacy, and information integrity remain important issues in maintaining the quality of democracy in the digital era.

METHODS

This study uses a mixed methods approach that combines quantitative and qualitative methods to gain a comprehensive understanding of the role of digital media in shaping public opinion and political participation. A quantitative approach was carried out through a survey of respondents who actively use social media and digital news portals, with the aim of measuring the relationship between the intensity of digital media use, the level of trust in political information, and the tendency of political participation, both in the form of online (*e-participation*) and offline. The research instruments were compiled based on indicators developed from the theory *of uses and gratifications* (Katz, Blumler, & Gurevitch, 1973) and the *civic voluntarism model* (Verba, Schlozman, & Brady, 1995). Quantitative data analysis was carried out using multiple regression techniques to test the influence of independent variables (digital media use) on dependent variables (political participation and public opinion formation).

In addition, a qualitative approach is used to deepen understanding of the social dynamics and communication ethics that occur in the digital space. In-depth interviews were conducted with a number of participants who are active in online political discussions, such as digital activists, citizen journalists, and social media users with high influence (*influencers*). Qualitative data were analyzed using *thematic analysis* techniques (Braun & Clarke, 2006) to identify key themes related to communication strategies, perceptions of political information, and the impact of digital interactions on polarization of opinions. This approach allows researchers to understand how political meanings are constructed and disseminated through digital media, as well as how they influence emerging forms of political participation. By combining these two approaches, this study aims to provide a complete empirical and conceptual picture of the relationship between digital technology, public opinion, and political participation in the context of contemporary democracy.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

RangkaianThis study found that the level of use of digital media significantly affects people's political awareness. From the results of a survey of 450 respondents in three major cities (Jakarta, Bandung, and Yogyakarta), as many as 72.4% of respondents admitted to accessing political information through digital media every day. The most frequently used platforms are Instagram (64%), X/Twitter (58%), and TikTok

e-ISSN: 2775 – 8672
p-ISSN: 2775 – 9482

(42%). Regression analysis showed a positive correlation (r = 0.67) between the frequency of digital media access and the level of political awareness of respondents. This shows that the higher the intensity of exposure to political content in digital media, the greater the knowledge and concern for national issues. The results of the study show that digital media functions as the main arena for the formation of public opinion. As many as 63% of respondents stated that their political opinions were formed after being exposed to discussions or content on social media. Qualitative research shows that social media has replaced the role of traditional media in determining the dominant political narrative. However, the phenomenon of selective exposure also emerges, where users tend to choose information sources that suit their ideology (Bakshy, Messing, & Adamic, 2015). This condition strengthens the echo chamber that has the potential to hinder political dialogue across viewpoints, as can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. The Relationship between Digital Media Use, Political Awareness, and Political Participation

Key Variables	Measurement Indicators	Average Score (%)	Correlation (r)	Significance (p)
Intensity of Digital Media Use	Frequency of social media access per day	72.4	—	—
Political Awareness	Knowledge of political and governance issues	68.7	0.67	< 0.01
Online Political Participation	Digital campaigns, online discussions, online petitions	61.3	0.61	< 0.01
Offline Political Participation	Voting, public discussions, socio-political activities	55.8	0.61	< 0.01
Exposure to Disinformation	Have received fake news	46.0	-0.44	< 0.05
Digital Literacy	Information verification and evaluation capabilities	64.2	0.59	< 0.01

The table above shows that there is a strong relationship between the intensity of digital media use (r = 0.67) and political awareness and political participation (r = 0.61). In contrast, exposure to disinformation was negatively correlated with the quality of public opinion (-0.44). Meanwhile, digital literacy plays an important role in strengthening the positive relationship between digital media use and political participation. This emphasizes that strengthening digital literacy is the main key in optimizing the potential of digital media as an instrument for information democratization. Social media and online news portals play a dominant role in shaping public opinion. This is in line with the findings of Gil de Zúñiga, Jung, and Valenzuela (2012), who show that the consumption of political news through social media contributes significantly to the increase in political awareness and the formation of individual political attitudes. However, information consumption patterns in the digital space also show selective exposure, where individuals tend to access information that reinforces their own political views (Bakshy, Messing, & Adamic, 2015).

As a result, an echo chamber is formed that limits exposure to alternative views and reinforces ideological polarization in society (Aziz & Dharta, 2024). In this context, digital media plays a dual role as a democratic medium that expands public participation as well as a space vulnerable to information distortion and ideological-based social segregation(John, 2025). The importance of digital literacy as a determining factor in the quality of political participation and the formation of rational public opinion(Shah, n.d.). Digital literacy acts as a moral and cognitive filter that helps individuals distinguish valid information from disinformation and critically evaluate political arguments (Mihailidis & Thevenin, 2013). Participants with high levels of digital literacy demonstrate a tendency to engage in dialogue across perspectives and reject extreme polarization, while those with low levels tend to fall prey to confirmation bias and the spread of fake news. In the context of digital democracy, this has major implications for political legitimacy and the quality of public deliberation. Therefore, strengthening digital literacy must be a priority in public policy and education, so that digital media can function not only as a means of political expression but also as a social learning space that fosters responsibility, empathy, and democratic awareness (Bimber et al., 2014).

KESIMPULAN

The findings reveal that digital media serve as both catalysts and filters of political discourse, enabling greater access to information while simultaneously reinforcing ideological polarization through algorithmic personalization. Moreover, the study identifies a strong correlation between the intensity of online engagement and the frequency of offline political activities, such as voting, protesting, and civic volunteering. However, the results also highlight the risks of misinformation, echo chambers, and superficial engagement driven by virality rather than critical reasoning. The study

e-ISSN: 2775 – 8672
p-ISSN: 2775 – 9482

concludes that while digital media have expanded the democratic space by facilitating inclusivity and immediacy in political communication, they also necessitate strategic media literacy initiatives and regulatory frameworks to ensure the integrity of public discourse in the digital age.

.

REFERENCES

Asnur, L., Jalinus, N., Faridah, A., Apra, T., Ambiyar, R. D., & Utami, F. (2024). *Video-blogs (Vlogs) -based Project : A Meta Analysis*. *14*(5), 1553–1557.

Aziz, A., & Dharta, Y. (2024). *The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion : A Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs . Digital Media Platforms*. *1*(6).

Bimber, B., Cunill, M. C., Copeland, L., & Gibson, R. (2014). *Social Science Computer Review Participation : The Moderating*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314526559

Delfi Kurnia Zebua, Tomi Apra santosa, & Fegid Dian Putra. (2024). The Role of HR Analytics in Enhancing Organizational Performance: A Review Literature. *Indonesia Journal of Engineering and Education Technology (IJEET)*, *2*(2), 363–368. https://doi.org/10.61991/ijeet.v2i2.69

Dewanto, D., Wantu, H. M., Dwihapsari, Y., Santosa, T. A., & Agustina, I. (2023). Effectiveness of The Internet of Things (IoT)-Based Jigsaw Learning Model on Students' Creative Thinking Skills: A- Meta-Analysis. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, *9*(10), 912–920. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i10.4964

Gurung, A. (2025). *Role of media in shaping public opinion*. 1–6.

John, V. J. (2025). *The Role of Media in Shaping Public Opinion and Democracy*. 706–710.

Okonkwo, C., Yusuf, A., & Banjo, K. (2024). *The Role of Twitter in Shaping Public Opinion During Election Periods in Nigeria*. *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.56397/JLCS.2024.03.14

Provision, S. (2021). *The Role of Political Communication in Shaping Public Opinion : a Comparative Analysis of Traditional and Digital Media*. *1*(2), 80–89.

Santosa, T. A., Agustina, N., & Yulianti, S. (2020). Jenis Tumbuhan Liar Dalam Upacara Adat Kenduri Seko Di Kerinci. *Pendekar: Jurnal Pendidikan Berkarakter*, *3*(1), 6–10. http://journal.ummat.ac.id/index.php/pendekar/article/view/2798

Santosa, W. H., & Sudirman, A. (2023). Factors Influencing the Implementation of Cooperative Learning: Elementary School Teacher Education Department Students' Perspectives. *Edunesia: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, *4*(3), 1031–1048. https://doi.org/10.51276/edu.v4i3.501

Shah, S. S. (n.d.). *PREMIER JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion on Environmental Issues*.

Suganda, E., Latifah, S., Irwandani, Sari, P. M., Rahmayanti, H., Ichsan, I. Z., & Rahman, M. M. (2021). STEAM and Environment on students' creative-thinking skills: A meta-analysis study. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1796*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1796/1/012101

Zulyusri1, Tomi Apra Santosa1, 2, Festiyed1, Yerimadesi1, Yohandri1, Abdul Razak1, S. (2023). Effectiveness of STEM Learning Based on Design Thiking in Improving Critical Thinking Skills in Science Learning : A. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, *9*(6), 112–119. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i6.3709